▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲壹▶ ▲壹▶ 壹 り९0

Efficient all-against-all protein similarity matrix computation using OpenCL Genome-oriented bioinformatics lab - WS2013/2014

Uli Köhler & Anton Smirnov

LMU & TUM Helmholtz-Zentrum München

Supervisor: Mathias Walter

February 24th, 2014

《口》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》 臣

SIMAP

SIMAP I

Similarity Matrix of Proteins:

- Database of protein similarities
- Compares all-against-all
- Currently ~73 million protein sequences $ightarrow 5.3 \cdot 10^{15}$ alignments
- BOINC-SIMAP distributed computing



SIMAP

SIMAP II

- Currently uses FASTA algorithm (fast, but suboptimal heuristics)
- For high-scoring hits, Smith-Waterman is currently in use
- Smith-Waterman provides better accuracy
- Requires efficient, parallelized implementation

Computational hardware

- CPU: ~1-12 cores, available anywhere
- GPU: 1000+ cores, good availability
- FPGA (field programmable gate array)
 - Configurable number of cores
 - Difficult to use
 - Expensive

(B)

OpenCL

- Programming framework for parallel computing
- Top level abstraction for low level routines
- Runs on CPUs, GPUs & FPGAs without modification
- Driver optimizes code for specific devices

Smith-Waterman parallelization

Intra-task



Inter-task



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

э

Sequence length optimization

Maximal efficiency of Smith-Waterman implementation:

- For many optimizations, we need sequences with equal length
- Equal length can boost performance by multiple magnitudes
- Pad sequence with ε
- Alignment score must not change \rightarrow Substitution score: $-\infty$
- Problem: Padding increases matrix size \rightarrow Large overhead

E 6 4 E 6

Sizeclasses

Solution: Extension sizeclasses / Adaptive binning

- Divide sequence length into different classes
- Pad only within one sizeclass
- Multiple sizeclasses reduce overall padding

	A	K	L	E	E
А	•••	•••	•••	0	0
С	•••	•••	•••	0	0
Μ	•••	•••	•••	0	0
Μ	•••	•••	•••	0	0
L	·	·	۰.	0	0



CLSW: OpenCL Smith-Waterman

- Objective: Develop proof-of-concept score-only OpenCL Smith-Waterman
- Use inter-task parallelization
- All-against-all with affine gap costs
- Can be used to build vendor-independent fast Smith-Waterman implementation

Implementation aspects

- Written in pure C++11 & OpenCL 1.1
- No external dependencies, compact binary
- Tested with SIMAP subset
- Verified using SeqAn library

4 1 1 1 4 1 1 1

Core advantages

- SWIPE: Integer \leftrightarrow CLSW: Floating point \rightarrow Composition based score adjustment \rightarrow Higher accuracy
- Concise codebase:

< 1.000 C++ lines of code

OpenCL Smith-Waterman: <50 lines of code (SWIPE: 10,000 lines of code)

 Existing implementations are based on CUDA \rightarrow Only runs on NVidia GPUs











Integration into SIMAP

- Since 2005, only CPU clients
- Since 2014, also ARM client for Android
- Users ask for GPU clients regularly since 2005
- $\bullet~$ CLSW was built to be integratable into BOINC $\rightarrow~$ Leverage huge amount of computing power
- Still, a lot of work needs to be done...

Other uses

- 3-4 times faster than SWIPE for short query sequences
 - \rightarrow Shotgun proteomics, NGS?
- Huge optimization potential
 - \rightarrow Reduce overhead, 5-10x speedup
- Platforms unsupported by SWIPE (e.g. 32 bit platforms)

(B)

Conclusion

- CLSW: Portable, GPU-based Smith-Waterman
- Fast for small queries, can be optimized for large queries
- Floating point score calculation
 - \rightarrow Composition-based score adjustment
- GPU computing is underestimated in computational biology

Thank you for your attention!

Special thanks to Mathias Walter & Thomas Rattei who made this project possible!

Questions?

Köhler U, Smirnov A (LMU, TUM)

Efficient S/W using OpenCL

February 24th, 2014 19 / 21





- Sizeclass: $(\alpha \cdot \sum sizeclass \ penalty) + (\beta \cdot |sizeclass|)$
- \bullet Difficult to determine optimal values for α and β
- Idea: Use population quantiles (e.g. $q_{0.01\%}$ to $q_{100\%}$) as sizeclass boundaries.
- Postprocessing: Divide sizeclasses with penalty > threshold